

# Manuscript Review

Manuscript Title:

Manuscript #:

Kindly rate the above submission using the following statements/criteria. Provide your ratings and comments as appropriate. High rating is considered 5-points and low rating 1-point.

<i>The article is related to the field/scope of J-ETD.</i>				
<b>Very highly related (5)</b>	<b>Highly related (4)</b>	<b>Moderately related (3)</b>	<b>Somewhat related (2)</b>	<b>Not related (1)</b>
Comments:				
<i>The article is complete, clearly written and well-organized.</i>				
<b>Excellent (5)</b>	<b>Very good (4)</b>	<b>Good (3)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (2)</b>	<b>Poor (1)</b>
Comments:				
<i>The significance of the problem is clearly articulated.</i>				
<b>Very highly significant (5)</b>	<b>Highly significant (4)</b>	<b>Moderately significant (3)</b>	<b>Somewhat significant (2)</b>	<b>Not significant (1)</b>
Comments:				
<i>The article makes an original contribution to the field of electronic dissertations and theses, broadly defined.</i>				
<b>Excellent (5)</b>	<b>Very good (4)</b>	<b>Good (3)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (2)</b>	<b>Poor (1)</b>
Comments:				
<i>The literature review demonstrates a clear relationship between the problem and critical issues related to electronic theses and dissertation and other relevant literature.</i>				
<b>Excellent (5)</b>	<b>Very good (4)</b>	<b>Good (3)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (2)</b>	<b>Poor (1)</b>
Comments:				
<i>The research design and methodology are appropriate for the study.</i>				
<b>Highly appropriate (5)</b>	<b>Appropriate (4)</b>	<b>Somewhat appropriate (3)</b>	<b>Inappropriate (2)</b>	<b>Highly inappropriate (1)</b>
Comments:				
<i>The discussion of the results, findings and conclusions is accurate and useful.</i>				
<b>Excellent (5)</b>	<b>Very good (4)</b>	<b>Good (3)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (2)</b>	<b>Poor (1)</b>
Comments:				
<i>The article uses an acceptable standard of English.</i>				
<b>Excellent (5)</b>	<b>Very good (4)</b>	<b>Good (3)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (2)</b>	<b>Poor (1)</b>
Comments:				
<i>The technical merit of the paper including its adherence to reference style are acceptable.</i>				
<b>Excellent (5)</b>	<b>Very good (4)</b>	<b>Good (3)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (2)</b>	<b>Poor (1)</b>
Comments:				

**Based on my assessment of the above criteria, my recommendation for this manuscript is:**

Kindly see that your ratings are aligned to the recommendation, and sufficient comments are provided to help the Editors make the final decision.

<b>Accept (score 37-45)</b>	<b>Accept with minor revisions (score 28-36)</b>	<b>Major revisions (require re-submission) (score 19-27)</b>	<b>Reject</b>
Comments to Author (include specific comments that you want the author to improve):			
Comments to Editor (confidential):			